

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/037,922	10/19/2001	Theresa A. Deisher	96-20D2	7962
7590 10/18/2005			EXAMINER	
Deborah A. Sawislak			MURPHY, JOSEPH F	
Patent Departme			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1201 Eastlake Avenue East			1646	
Seattle, WA 9	8102		DATE MAIL ED: 10/18/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
			10/037,922	DEISHER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			Examiner	Art Unit				
			Joseph F. Murphy	1646				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
	1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/27/	2005.					
	•	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
•	•	<i>,</i> —						
	,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims								
	4)🛛	Claim(s) 8-13 and 21-35 is/are pending in the a	application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)🖂	(i)						
	7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
_	achmen		_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/037,922

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Formal Matters

Claims 8-13, 21-35 are pending and under consideration.

Response to Amendment

The objection to the Specification has been obviated by Applicant's amendment and is thus withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 first paragraph

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 8-13, 21-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, which is enabling for a full length ZFGF5 protein of SEQ ID NO: 2, or a polypeptide variant of ZFGF5 80% to 95% identical to SEQ ID NO: 2, wherein the ZFGF5 polypeptide binds to FGF receptor, or have a proliferate effect on cultured murine monocytes, does not reasonably provide enablement for a polypeptide variant of ZFGF5 80% to 95% identical to SEQ ID NO: 2, or an allelic variant of ZFGF5 polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 2. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Applicant has amended the claims to recite the functional limitation wherein the polypeptide binds FGF receptor or is capable of stimulating proliferation of mesenchymal stem cells. Applicant argues that the claims as written are now enabled. However, The claims

Art Unit: 1646

encompass allelic variants of SQ ID NO: 2. However, due to the limitation of "allelic variant" recited in the claim, a determination of what the claim as a whole covers indicates that elements that are not particularly described, e.g. the sequence of the claimed allelic variants, are encompassed by this claim. Given that the claim does not set forth the sequences encompassed by the allelic variant limitation, the claim

Additionally, since the claims do not set forth the sequences encompassed by the claims, the claims are overly broad because they define a polypeptide used in the method by a function alone, i.e. the polypeptide binds FGF receptor or is capable of stimulating proliferation of mesenchymal stem cells. However, in Amgen Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., 18 USPQ2d 1016 (Fed. Cir. 1991), the court ruled that a claim to a large genus of possible genetic sequences encoding a protein with a particular function that needs to be determined subsequent to the construction of the genetic sequences may not find sufficient support under 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph, if only a few of the sequences that meet the functional limitations of the claim are disclosed and if undue experimentation would be required of one skilled in the art for determining other genetic sequences embraced by the claim. In the instant case, there are no structural features set forth for the polypeptide that must have the function of binding FGF receptor or is capable of stimulating proliferation of mesenchymal stem cells, thus it would require undue experimentation for one of skill in the art to determine which polypeptides, given no structural information, would retain the function of binding FGF receptor or is capable of stimulating proliferation of mesenchymal stem cells.

Given the breadth of claims 8-13, 21-35 in light of the predictability of the art as determined by the number of working examples, the level of skill of the artisan, and the guidance

Application/Control Number: 10/037,922

Art Unit: 1646

provided in the instant specification and the prior art of record, it would require undue experimentation for one of ordinary skill in the art to practice the claimed invention.

Claims 8-13, 21-35 are rejected, under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant is directed to the Guidelines for the Examination of Patent Applications Under the 35 U.S.C. 112, ¶ 1 "Written Description" Requirement, Federal Register, Vol. 66, No. 4, pages 1099-1111, Friday January 5, 2001.

Applicant has amended the claims to recite the functional limitation wherein the polypeptide binds FGF receptor or is capable of stimulating proliferation of mesenchymal stem cells. Applicant argues that the claims now fully describe the claimed invention. However, due to the limitation of "allelic variant" recited in the claim, a determination of what the claim as a whole covers indicates that elements that are not particularly described, e.g. the sequence of the claimed allelic variants, are encompassed by this claim. There is no actual reduction to practice of the claimed invention, or complete detailed description of the structure. A biomolecular sequence described only by a functional characteristic, in this case an allelic variant of a protein whose sequence is set forth in SEQ ID NO: 2, without any known or disclosed correlation between the function and the structure of the sequence is not a sufficient identifying characteristic. See <u>University of California v. Eli Lilly and Co.</u> 43 USPQ2d at 1406. There is no known or disclosed correlation between this function and the structure of the non-described

Art Unit: 1646

allelic variants and the disclosed polypeptide with an amino acid sequence as set forth in SEQ ID NO: 2. Weighing all factors in view of the level of knowledge and skill in the art, one skilled in the art would not recognize from the disclosure that the Applicant was in possession of the claimed invention.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/037,922 Page 6

Art Unit: 1646

Advisory Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Joseph Murphy whose telephone number is (571) 272-0877. The

examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 5:00 pm. A message

may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone

are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa, can be reached on (571) 272-0829.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph F. Murphy, Ph. D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1646

October 12, 2005

JOSEPH MURPHY
PATENT EXAMINED